

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 PHILLIP SHARPE,

9 *Petitioner,*

10 vs.

11 NEVIN, *et al.*,

12 *Respondents.*
13
14

2:16-cv-01841-JCM-VCF

ORDER

15 This is a habeas corpus proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 brought by Phillip Sharpe, a Nevada
16 prisoner. On November 8, 2016, this court entered a screening order identifying defects in Sharpe's
17 initial habeas petition and granting him leave to amend. ECF No. 8. On November 21, 2016, Sharpe
18 filed an amended petition. ECF No. 10.

19 The court has screened the amended petition and concludes that the respondents shall be served
20 with the amended petition and directed to respond to it. *See* Rule 4, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in
21 the U.S. District Courts.

22 In addition, Sharpe has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 11. Pursuant
23 to 18 U.S.C. §3006A(a)(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines
24 that the "interests of justice" require representation. There is no constitutional right to appointed
25 counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987);
26 *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally
27 discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228,
28 1234 (9th Cir. 1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that

1 denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such
2 limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see*
3 *also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948, 950 (8th Cir. 1970). The petition on file in this action is
4 sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that Sharpe wishes to bring. Also, the issues in this case are
5 not particularly complex. It does not appear that appointment of counsel is warranted in this instance.
6 Sharpe's motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk shall ELECTRONICALLY SERVE the
8 amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 10) and a copy of this order on the respondents.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall add Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney
10 General of the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall file a response to the amended petition,
12 including potentially a motion to dismiss, within **sixty (60) days** of the date of this order, with any
13 requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under
14 the local rules.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner shall have **thirty (30) days** from service of the
16 answer, motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other requests for
17 relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local
18 rules.

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by
20 either petitioner or respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits
21 by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed shall be identified by the number or numbers of
22 the exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be
23 forwarded – for this case – to the staff attorneys in **Reno**.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No.
25 11) is DENIED.

26 DATED: December 19, 2016.

27 
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE